

ABSTRACT

CLONING OF CHIA FROM SERRATIA MARCESCENS AND ITS EXPRESSION IN E. COLI

MALIK AHMED PASHA*, ARSHIANAAZ BELGAUMWALA, P. U. KRISHNARAJ AND M. S. KURUVINASHETTI Department of Biotechnology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad - 580 005, Karnataka, INDIA e-mail: malikiabt@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Serratia marcescens Cloning Expression ARFLP SDS PAGE Colloidal chitin

Received on : 12.10.2014

Accepted on : 28.01.2015

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Around 10% to 20% loss in crop production is due to disease, pest and weed (Kumar and Gupta, 2012). Majority of plant diseases are caused by fungi. Application of agrochemicals and developments of resistant cultivars are the major approaches for the management of plant disease. An alternative approach to avoid the undesired effects of chemical control is the biological control using antifungal bacteria that exhibit a direct action against fungal pathogens (Neeraja et al., 2010). Most of plant pathogenic fungal cell wall is a complex structure composed typically of chitin, 1, 3- β and 1, 6- β glucans of β 1, 4-linked N acetyleglucosamine (Adams, 2004). Chitinases (E.C 3.2.2.14) are glycosyl hydrolases which cleaves a bond between C1 and C4 of two consecutive N-acetylglucosamine of chitin (Gokul et al., 2000). The Gram negative bacterium Serratia marcescens secretes a variety of extracellular enzymes including chitinases (Hines et al., 1988). It is one of the most effective bacteria for degradation of chitin (Monreal et al., 1969). When this bacterium is cultivated in the presence of chitin, a variety of chitinolytic enzymes and chitin binding proteins are produced (Fuchs et al., 1986). The chitinolytic activity of S. marcescens is of great interest because it is one of the best characterized chitinolytic machineries known to date (Perrakis et al., 1994). Detailed studies by a number of groups have showed that S. marcescens produces at least three chitinases (chiA, chiB, chiC), a chitobiose and a putative chitin binding protein (CPB21) (Vaaje-Kolstad et al., 2005). However, it is not certain that these five enzymes represent the complete

AUDS170chiA, chiA from other isolates showed similar (but different from sm141chiA) profile with *Pst*I. Full length sequence analysis of AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA showed 97.40% and 97.34% similarity with sm141chiA. The expression profile based on SDS PAGE and hydrolysis of colloidal chitin proved chiA from AUDS106 is the best. In-silico analysis of sequence revealed that most of variants in the genes are at wobble position which does not alter the amino acid they code. But the variations in AUDS096chiA changed the GC content (54.72% to 66.03%) leading to reduced translational efficiency in *E. coli*. The variant of gene from different isolates may vary in function to a larger extent and hence classification of isolate from less to more potent.

Six best isolates of Serratia marcescens were selected for studying variant of chiA by ARFLP method. Except

chitinolytic machinery of bacterium.

S marcescens isolated from peanut hull showed antagonistic activity against mycelia growth and subsequent aflatoxin production (Wang et al., 2014). chiA isolated from soil bacteria (S. marcescens) and cloned in E. coli and tobacco showed chitinolytic activity (Malatheshaih et al., 2011). S. marcescens ChiA was heterologously expressed in an anti-Coleopteran Bacillus thuringiensis at levels even higher than that produced by the source organism (Okay et al., 2008). Genetic engineering of plants with the potential genes accelerates plant breeding for disease management. However, development of resistance to transgene by pest is a major problem (Frederic, 1998). A pool of genes with some variability in nucleotide is required to overcome the problem of development of resistance by pest. Isolates of a species are known for variations in their activity which can be used for specific purpose (Pawar and Ingle, 2014). Trichoderma harzianum and Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates showed varied degree of inhibition against Exserohilum turcicum (Singh and Singh, 2014). So far the information on the diversity and distribution of bacterial chitinases is limited. ChiA isolated from different sources showed variation in 7-26 amino acid residue (Wu et al., 2009). In this study, chiA from potent isolates of S. marcescens was amplified, profiled for variability by restriction polymorphism. And also full length chiA from two isolates was cloned, sequenced, characterized and expressed in E. coli.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of DNA from isolates of S.marcescens

The S. marcescens isolates used in this study were collected from Biligiriranga hills Mysore, Karnataka and earlier studied for their chitin degradation efficiency and also profiled the chitinase gene content. Six isolates which produced 5 mm clearance zone on colloidal chitin agar and showed to harbor chiA, chiB and chiC were selected for this study. The DNA was isolated from 10 mL of overnight culture of S. marcescens by chemical and enzymatic lysis, separation bν phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol and precipitated by isopropanol (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). Briefly, the overnight culture was treated with 25mg lysozyme, 2.5 mg proteinaseK and 250 µg of RNaseA in 50 mM Tris and 20 mM EDTA buffer. The supernatant was mixed with equal volume of phenol:chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and phase separated. The DNA was precipitated by adding pre-chilled isopropanol to aqueous layer. The pellet was washed, dried and re-suspended in 10mM Tris 1Mm EDTA.

Amplification of *chi*A and Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (ARFLP)

The S. marcescens isolates (AUDS096, AUDS106, AUDS154, AUDS165, AUDS166 and AUDS170) which produced 5mm (largest) clearance zone and contain chiA, chiB and chiC were used for checking novelty by Amplicon Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (ARFLP) (Kuo and Chak 1996). During this study, chiA isolated from sm141 by Malatheshaiah et al., (2011) was used as reference. The full length amplification of chiA was done by polymerase chain reaction using the protocol of Malatheshaiah et al. (2011). PCR mixture containing 100 ng of template DNA, 2.5 pmol of each primer, 250 μ M of dNTP each, 1X Tag buffer and 1 unit of Tag DNA polymerase in a final volume of 20 μ L nuclease free water. The primers used for amplification of full length chiA are; chiAfp 5'TCTAGAAAAGGAATCAGTTATGCGCAAAT3', chiArp 5'GGATCCAACGCAC TGCAACCGATTATT3'. The template DNA was denatured at 95°C for 5 minutes for one cycle and amplified for 32 cycles of 94°C for 1 minute, 55°C for 45 seconds followed by primer extension at 72°C for 1 minute. The amplified product was purified by using MinElute gel extraction kit (Qiagen) by following manufacturer's instruction. Based on the sequence information of Sm141chiA, Pstl, Sall, Smal and Xmal restriction endonucleases (Bangalore Genei) were used. One unit of each enzyme was used for digesting 100 ng of amplified and purified DNA in 20µL reaction mixture under appropriate buffer, pH and temperature conditions. The restricted DNA was separated in 1% agarose gel, stained by EtBr and documented.

Molecular characterization of chiA

chiA from AUDS096 and AUDS106 was cloned by TA cloning in pTZ57R/T. PCR compositions and conditions (except for final extension of 45 minutes to add dATP at the 3' end) followed were same as mentioned above. The amplified product was separated in 0.8% agarose gel and purified using MinElute gel extraction kit (Qiagen) by following manufacturer's instruction. 30 μ L ligation mixture containing 1 X cohesive end ligation buffer, 0.17 pmol ends of vector, 0.51 pmol ends of insert and 1 unit of T4 DNA ligase and incubated overnight at 16°C. Competent E. coli DH5a (prepared by CaCl, method, Smbrook and Russell, 2001) was transformed with the above ligation mixture by heat shock at 42°C for 2 minutes. The transformants selected on Luria Bertani agar containing ampicilin (100µg/mL), nalidixic acid (10µg/ mL), X-gal (16 mM) and IPTG (16 mM) are finally confirmed by PCR and restriction digestion (HindIII and EcoRI). The putative recombinant clones were confirmed for presence of chiA by PCR using gene specific primer followed by sequencing. Ends of the gene were sequenced using M13 universal primer and then primer walking using internal primers. Forward and reverse sequence reads were joined by cap contig assembly using Bioedit software (Hall, 1999). Full length sequence was submitted to NCBI for similarity search using BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990). Variation in AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA nucleotide sequence was analysed by ClustalW multiple alignment in Bioedit tool (Thompson et al., 1994). The nucleotide sequence was in silico translated to amino acid by Bioedit software. The similarity of deduced amino acid sequence of AUS096chiA and AUDS106chiA was compared with sm141 chitinaseA protein by BLASTp (Altschul et al., 1997). The effect of mutation on activity of chitinaseA at each amino acid position was predicted by PredictProtein (www.predictprotein.org) (Rost et al., 2004).

Expression of chiA in E. coli

AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA from cloning vector was released and cloned into prokaryotic expression vector pET32^{c+} (Invitrogen) using *Hind*III and *Eco*RI restriction endonucleases in presence of appropriate temperatre, pH and ionic concentration. The transformants were selected on Luria Bertani agar containing 100µg/mL ampicilin and the recombinant clones were confirmed by PCR and restriction digestion as mentioned above. The induction of recombinant clones and isolation of crude protein from cytoplasmic fraction of cell was done by following guidelines provided by Invitrogen. Briefly, 1mM of IPTG was used to induce the log



Figure 1: Amplified Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (ARFLP) profile of chiA. R is sm141chiA, 1, 2 and 3 are AUDS170chiA, AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA. M1 and M2 are 100 bp and Lambda HindIII single digest DNA ladder respectively. All the three isolates produce profile different from sm141chiA but the profile produced by AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA is same. The right panel depicts the computer simulated banding pattern of AUDS096 chiA and AUDS106 chiA

phase culture and allow it to produce protein for two hours under shaking condition at 37°C. The protein in crude extract was guantified by Lowry's method (Lowry et al., 1951). 12.5 ng of crude protein was loaded in 12% SDS-PAGE (prepared and run as mentioned by Smbrook and Russell, 2001). The gel was stained by comassie brilliant blue and then destained to get clear bands. 5 % Colloidal chitin was prepared according to Roberts and Selitrennikoff (1998). Chitin plates were prepared with chitin agar medium containing 1% colloidal chitin, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.05% MgSO₄, 0.2% sodium nitrate, 0.05% KCL, FeSO, pinch, 0.1% K, HPO4 and 1.8% agar (w/v), pH adjusted to 6.0 using 1N NaOH/HCl. 40ng of total protein (cytoplasmic fraction, isolated from recombinant E. coli BL21DE3) was spot on 1.0% colloidal chitin agar plate. Similarly, 40 ng of uninduced and induced non-recombinant E. coli BL21DE3 cell culture was also spot on 1.0% colloidal chitin agar. The zone of clearance was measured at 5th day.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Full length chiA was amplified from six best isolates based on colloidal chitin solubalisation assay. To find the variants of chiA, we used ARFLP method which was designed by Kuo and Chak (1996) to detect novel cry genes. This technique was also used later by Wang et al. (2003) to detect new cry genes. The full length chiA was purified and restricted with Pstl, Sall, Smal and Xmal restriction endonucleases. Variant form of chiA was observed only with Pstl for AUDS096, AUDS106, AUDS154, AUDS165, AUDS166 and AUDS170. Except AUDS170, the chiA amplified from all isolates yield similar restriction profile (but different from sm141chiA) (Fig. 1). Pooja et al. (2013) identify variant of cry11 in Bacillus thuringiensis using similar method. Difference in restriction profile of DNA indicates there is a difference in nucleotide sequence. But the diversity of a gene may not be detected always by restriction profiling because the variation in nucleotide might have not created site for restriction endonuclease. We carried further the cloning and expression of chiA from AUDS096 and AUDS106 only, henceforth they are called as AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA respectively. Nucleotide sequence of AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA (Accession number KP728831 and HQ219075) are 97.40% and 97.34% similar with sm141chiAand 97.81% similarity between AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA. Similarly, amino acid sequence of AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA are 99.11% and 99.82% similar with sm141chiA and 99.29% similarity between AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA. The BLASTn and BLASTp result also showed similarity of these sequences to chiA of S. marcescens (supplementary figure). We also confirmed the isolates by 16S rDNA sequencing (results not shown). These results indicate that the cloned genes are variant of sm141chiA and are from same species (S.

genes are variant of sm141chiA and are from same species (5. *marcescens*) (Tindall *et al.*, 2010). In-silico analysis of full length *chiA* showed presence of site for *Pst*1 at 362, 645 and 906 bp in AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA. These results are in agreement with the results obtained in ARFLP where we obtained 4 bands of 786, 362, 283 and 261 bp size (Fig. 1). The variation in nucleotide and their corresponding amino acid sequence of *sm141chiA* and AUDS106*chiA* is shown in Fig. 2. Most of the variations in AUDS096*chiA* and

AUDS106chiA are at wobble position and the variant genes still maintain the amino acid they codes (Fig. 2). This may be one of the reasons for low level expression of chiA in AUDS096chiA isolates of the same species (Liu and Xue, 2005).

The crude protein isolated from induced and uninduced culture of E. coli containing cloned chiA was separated in 12% SDS-PAGE. A presence of clear and distinct band of approximately 58.5 kDa in only the induced culture containing cloned *chi*A confirms the expression of recombinant protein (Fig. 3). Similar size of chiA band was observed by Malatheshaiah et al. (2011). Watanabe et al. (1997), and Brurberg et al. (1994). chiA of 1692bp codes for precursor protein of 563 amino acids but N terminal signal peptide is cleaved while secreting from the cell (Brurberg et al., 2000) resulting an enzyme of 540 amino acids and a calculated molecular mass of 58.5 kDa (Perrakis et al., 1994). The processing of N terminal signal peptide from precursor chiA of Aeromonas caviae and production of active enzyme in E. coli was observed by Sitrit et al. (1995). Similarly removal of N terminal signal peptide of S marcescens chiB was observed by Suzuki et al. (2002) in E coli. It is assumed that the N terminal signal peptide is removed during transportation of enzyme across the cell membrane. A transparent clear zone in opaque media of colloidal chitin was observed only in protein isolated from induced culture (or protein) containing chiA cloned from AUDS106 (fig4). Although AUDS096chiA produced expected size band in SDS-PAGE but it failed to show chitinolytic activity in E. coli. Even the band intensity of AUDS096chiA in SDS-PAGE is faint compare to AUDS106chiA. Reduced/loss of chitinolytic activity in AUDS096chiA cloned in E. coli may be due to mutation in active site or reduction in translation efficiency in heterologous system. mRNA expression among clinical isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis demonstrates that genes with important functions can vary in their expression levels between strains grown under identical conditions (Gao et al., 2005).

Expression of heterologous gene in microbial expression system lead to reduced translation efficiency or errors in amino acid sequence of protein product (Smith, 2008). The GC content of wobble position is most important for gene expression (Pasha et al., 2015). Most of the nucleotides are replaced by G or C at wobble position in AUDS096chiA leading to poor expression in *E. coli*. The overall GC content in sm141chiA, AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA and E. coli BL21 is 58.51%, 58.87%, 58.22% and 52.35% respectively. The GC content at wobble position in sm141chiA, AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA is 54.72%, 66.03% and 39.62% respectively. The variation in nucleotides also created variation in amino acids; six and three amino acids were changed in AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA respectively (Fig. 2). To check whether the changes in amino acid lead to loss of activity in AUDS096chiA, we fed amino acid sequence to predict protein software. Interestingly, all the altered amino acids are neutral in action except replacement of valine by glutamic acid at 130th position in AUDS096chiA (Fig. 5). Valine is non-polar aliphatic amino acid whereas glutamic acid is hydrophilic and negatively charged amino acid. Most of the point mutations are neutral, while some destroy protein structure and hence hamper biological functions of a cell

CONTROL CONTRO International control H L A P Q A L Q R S C Q G R E D F K V S I H D P F A L Q K A Q K G V T A W DD P Y K G N F G Q L M A L K Q A H P D L K I L P S I G W T L S D P A.C. Q A L Q R S C Q G R E D F K V S I H D P F A L Q K A Q K G V T A W DD P Y K G N F G Q L M A L K Q A H P D L K I L P S I G W T L S D P Q A L Q R S C Q G R E D F K V S I H D P F A L Q K A Q K G V T A W DD P Y K G N F G Q L M A L K Q A H P D L K I L P S I G W T L S D P Q A L Q R S C Q G R E D F K V S I H D P F A L Q K A Q K G V T A W DD P Y K G N F G Q L M A L K Q A H P D L K I L P S I G W T L S D P Q A L Q R S C Q G R E D F K V S I H D P F A L Q K A Q K G V T A W DD P Y K G N F G Q L M A L K Q A H P D L K I L P S I G W T L S D P ΥTΤ MERTEN RELALLE G'STLC'S AA QAAAPGK PTIANG AND THE VEVEVOC AND THE VEVEVOUNDER T A YTT T A YTT ÷ D d ∎ v 0 0 L N A P A W K P D L V K V K N AA D V S V L V K V K M AA D V S V L V K V K M AA D V S V υ ТА L N А Р А W К ТА L N А Р А W К A S D A T E I W A D T A S **D** T T E I W A D T A S **D** T T E I V E A D A S **D** T E I V E A D A S D A T E I V E A D A S D A T E I W A D T**G**... V A L C N A D T A N F K V N K GG R Y Q M Q 1370 1380 1580 1590 320 330 530 540 740 750 950 960 130 140 A I S A G K D K I D K V A Y N V A Q A I S A G M D K I D K V A Y N V A Q T G SS G TTAKVLLNGKEAWSGPS ÷ 8 --A E T G R K Y E L T S I A E T G R K Y E L T S I - 20 ETGRKYE] . 40 * ă ^ D T G * 0 2 GGCGTTCAATAA o ⊳ AUDS106chiA AUDS096chiAG AUDS096chiA AUDS096chiA : < Ē. AUDS106chiA ... AUDS096chiA AUDS106chiA AUDS096chiA AUDS106chiA AUDS106chiA AUDS096chiA AUDS106chiA AUDS106chiA AUDS096chiA AUDS106chiA sm141chiA sm141chiA sm141chiA sm141chiA sm141chiA sm141chiA sm141chiA sm141chiA

Figure 2: Comparison of nucleotide and their translated amino acid sequence of sm141chiA, AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA. Yellow, red and green bars indicate variation in only AUDS096chiA, only AUDS106chiA and both respectively. Six and three amino acids are different in AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA respectively compared to sm141chiA

MALIK AHMED PASHA et al.,



Figure 3. SDS-PAGE profile of crude protein isolated from *E.coli*. 1; *E.coli*BL21DE3, 2; *E.coli*BL21DE3 containing pET32^{C+} (prokaryotic expression vector), 3; *E.coli*BL21DE3 containing pET32^{C+} AUDS096*chi*A and 4; *E.coli*BL21DE3 containing pET32^{C+}AUDS106*chi*A. a and b are uninduced and induced cultures respectively. M: Pre-stained Protein Ladder marker SM0671. A thick band of 58.5kDa is seen only in induced cultures containing cloned *chi*A



Figure 5: Snapshot of predict protein: effect of point mutation window. Amino acids in sm141chiA are in X axis (top line) and alternate are in Y axis (left vertical). Each box in different colour indicates the effect of alternate amino acid at that position. Red, white and green colour indicates strong, medium and weak/no effect of point mutation. The variation observed (yellow circle) at all positions in both genes does not have effect on activity of protein except one variation in AUDS096chiA at 130th position

(Matthews, 1987). The chitinolytic activity observed in *S. marcescens* AUDS096 isolate might be due to presence of other active/functional chitinolytic enzymes (Vaaje-Kolstad et *al.*, 2013).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Department of Biotechnology, Government of India for funding this project.

REFERENCES



Figure 4: Colloidal chitin solubalisation assay. a and c is protein from uninduced culture of *E.coli* BL21DE3 containing pET32^{C+}AUDS096*chi*A and *E.coli*BL21DE3 containing pET32^{C+}AUDS106*chi*A respectively whereas b and d is from their induced cultures. A clear transparent zone is observed only in induced AUDS106*chi*A

a	Description	Max	Total score	Query	E	Iden	Accession
S marcescens (BJL200) chiA gene for chitnase		3081	3081	100%	0.0	99%	236294.1
Serratia marcescens WW4, complete genome		3075	3075	100%	0.0	99%	CP003959.1
Serrata sp. TU09 chitinase (Chi60) gene, complete cds		3042	3042	100%	0.0	99%	AY040610.2
Serrata sp. FS14, complete genome		3020	3020	100%	0.0	99%	CP005927.1
Serratia marcescens strain Ha-Pink chiltinase A (chiA) gene, complete	cda	3011	3011	99%	0.0	99%	KF823630.1
Serratia marcescens strain AUDS227 chitinase A gene, complete cds		3003	3003	100%	0.0	99%	HQ699804.1
Serratia marcescens Bn10 endochitinase (chiA) gene, complete cds		2976	2976	100%	0.0	98%	DQ165083.1
Serratia marcescens strain TRL chitinase A (ChiA) gene, complete cds		2959	2959	100%	0.0	98%	KM044038.1
Serratia marcescens strain AUDS106 chitinase A (chiA) gene, complete	te ods	2920	2920	100%	0.0	98%	HQ219075.1
Sanguibacter sp. C4 chitinase (chit58) gene, complete cds		2904	2904	100%	0.0	98%	DQ282126.1
Serratia marcescens strain C8-8 chilinase A (chiA) gene, complete cd	1	2898	2898	100%	0.0	98%	EU753246.1
Serratia proteamaculans strain 18A1 endochitinase (chiA) gene, comp	otete.cds	2881	2881	100%	0.0	97%	EF451957.1
Serratia marcescens strain 141 chilinase A (chiA) gene, complete cds		2881	2881	100%	0.0	97%	DQ990373.1
h	December	Max	Total	Query	E		
N	Description	score	score	cover	value	TUOT	Accession
S.marcescens (BJL200) chiA gene for chilinase		2953	2953	100%	0.0	98%	Z36294.1
Serratia sp. FS14, complete genome		2948	2948	100%	0.0	98%	CP005927.1
Serratia sp. TU09 chitinase (Chi60) gene, complete cds		2937	2937	100%	0.0	98%	AY040610.2
Serratia marcescens Bn10 endochitinase (chiA) gene, complete cds		2937	2937	100%	0.0	98%	DQ165083.1
Serratia marcescens WW4, complete genome		2926	2926	100%	0.0	98%	CP003959.1
Serratia marcescens strain TRL chitinase A (ChiA) gene, complete cd	2	2915	2915	100%	0.0	98%	KM044038.1
Sanguibacter sp. C4 chitinase (chit58) gene, complete cds		2909	2909	100%	0.0	98%	D0282126.1
Serratia marcescens strain Ha-Pink chilinase A (chiA) gene, complete	cds	2905	2905	99%	0.0	98%	KE823030.1
Serratia marcescens strain C8-8 chitinase A (chiA) gene, complete cd	ls.	2892	2892	100%	0.0	98%	EU753246.1
Serratia marcescens strain 141 chitinase A (chiA) gene, complete cds		2876	2876	100%	0.0	97%	DQ990373.1
c	Description	Max	Total	Query	E	Ident	Accession
L.	Description	score	score	cover	value		
chilinase A ISerralia marcescens)		1151	1151	99%	0.0	99%	WP_015376235.1
chilinase (Serratia marcescens)		1149	1149	99%	0.0	99%	WP 021504113.1
chilinase.ffierralia.matcescensi		1148	1148	99%	0.0	99%	WP 004934124.1
chilinase A Perrata nematodiphila		1147	1147	0.0%	0.0	00%	ALA46472 1
chilinase A. (Serraia, SD. F.S.14)		1147	1147	0.0%	0.0	00%	ABBD1448.1
chitinase A literratia marcescens)		1147	1147	99%	0.0	99%	ADX33318.1
endo-chilinase (Serrata marcescens)		1145	1145	99%	0.0	99%	AAL57854.1
chitinase (Serratia marcescens)		1145	1145	99%	0.0	99%	WP 016929529.1
endochitinase [Serratia marcescens]		1144	1144	99%	0.0	99%	AA286539.1
chitinase (Serratia marcescens)		1143	1143	99%	0.0	98%	KFF77440.1
d	Description	Max	Total	Query	E	Ident	Accession
u .	Description	score	score	cover	value	Ident	Accession
chitinase A (Serratia marcescens)		1157	1157	99%	0.0	100%	ADX33318.1
chitinase [Serratia marcescens]		1157	1157	99%	0.0	99%	WP_004934124
chitinase [Serratia nematodiphila]		1156	1156	99%	0.0	99%	WP 033631459.1
chitinase A [Serrata sp. FS14]		1155	1155	99%	0.0	99%	AIA46472.1
chitinase [Serratia marcescens]		1165	1155	99%	0.0	99%	WP 021504113.
chitinase (Sanguibacter sp. C4)		1155	1155	99%	0.0	99%	ABB91448.1
indochilinase [Serrata marcescens]		1154	1154	99%	0.0	99%	AA286539.1
chilinase A ISerratia marcescensi		1154	1154	99%	0.0	99%	WP 015376235
hitinase (Serratia marcescens)		1154	1154	99%	0.0	99%	WP 016929529
ando-chilinase [Serratia marcescens]		1153	1153	99%	0.0	99%	AAL 57854 1
and another restriction intervention		1100					Conservation of the l

Supplementary figure. BLAST analysis of AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA. a and b are BLASTn result of AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA respectively. c and d are BLASTp result of AUDS096chiA and AUDS106chiA respectively.

Adams, D. J. 2004. Fungal cell wall chitinases and glucanases. *Microbiol.* 150(7): 2029-2035.

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., Lipman, D. J. 1990. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215: 403-410.

Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schaffe, r A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D. J. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25: 3389-3402.

Brurberg, M. B., Eijsink, V. G. H. and Nes, 1. F. 1994. Chitinases ChiA and ChiB from Serratia marcescens BJLZOO. *Doctoral thesis*. *Agricultural University of Norway, As, Norway*. Brurberg, M. B., Synstad, B., Klemsdal, S. S., Van Aalten, D. M. F., Sundheim, L. and Eijsink, V. G. H. 2000. Chitinases from Serratia marcescens. Recent Res. Dev. Microbiol. 5: 187-204.

Frederick, R. D., Thilmony, R. L., Sessa, G. and Martin, G. B. 1998. Recognition specificity for the bacterial avirulence protein AvrPto is determined by Thr-204 in the activation loop of the tomato Pto kinase. *Mol. Cell.* **2(2):** 241-245.

Fuchs, R. L., Mcpherson, S. A. and Drahos, D. J. 1986. Cloning of a Serratia marcescens gene encoding chitinase. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 51: 504-509.

Gao, Q., Kripke, K. E., Saldanha, A. J., Yan, W., Holmes, S. and Small, P. M. 2005. Gene expression diversity among Mycobacterium tuberculosis clinical isolates. *Microbiol.* **151**: 5-14.

Gokul, B., Lee, J. H., Song, K. B., Rhee, S. K., Kim, C. H. and Panda, T. 2000. Characterization and applications of chitinases from Trichoderma harzianum- A review. *Bioprocess Engg.* 23: 691-694.

Hall, T. A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/ NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* **41**: 95-98.

Hines, D. A., Saurugger, P. N., Ihler, G. M. and Benedik, M. J.1988. Genetic analysis of extracellular proteins of Serratia marcescens. *J. Bacteriol.* **170**: 41-44.

Kumar, S. and Gupta, O. 2012. Expanding dimensions of plant pathology. *JNKVV Res. J.* 46(3): 286-293.

Kuo, W. S. and Chak, K. F. 1996. Identification of novel cry-type genes from Bacillus thuringiensis strains on the basis of restriction fragment length polymorphism of the PCR-amplified DNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62(4): 1369-1377.

Liu, Q. and Xue, Q. 2005. Comparative studies on codon usage pattern of chloroplasts and their host nuclear genes in four plant species. *J. Genetics.* 84(1): 55-62.

Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., and Randall, R. J. 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193: 265-275.

Malatheshaiah, T. N., Krishnaraj. P. U., Kempagangaiah, K., Swamidatta, H. S., Narasimhamurthy, K. Y. and Kuruvinashetti, M. S. 2011. Cloning, expression and development of transgenic tobacco using Chi A gene from native isolate of Serratia marcescens 141. *Trans. Plant J.* 5(1): 72-77.

Matthews, B. W. 1987. Genetic and structural analysis of the protein stability problem. *Biochem.* 26: 6885-6888.

Monreal, J. and Reese, E. 1969. The chitinase of Serratia marcescens. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 15: 689-696.

Neeraja, C., Anil, K., Purushotham, P., Suma, K., Sarma, P., Moerschbacher, B. M. and Podile, A. R. 2010. Biotechnological approaches to develop bacterial chitinases as a bioshield against fungal diseases of plants. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **30**: 231-241.

Okay, S., Tefon, B. E., Ozkan, M. and Ozcengiz, G. 2008. Expression of chitinase A (chiA) gene from a local isolate of Serratia marcescens in Coleoptera-specific Bacillus thuringiensis. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **104(1):** 161-70.

Pasha, M. A., Belgaumwala, A., Kumar, R., Krishnaraj, P. U. and Kuruvinashetti, M. S. 2015. Codon optimization of Serratia marcescens chiA and its expression in tobacco. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 25(1): 254-260.

Pawar, N. B. and Ingle, Y. V. 2014. Protein and isozyme patterns of Rhizoctonia bataticola isolates causing chickpea root rot. *The Bioscan.* **9(3):** 1165-1170.

Perrakis, A., Tews, I., Dauter, Z., Oppenheim, A. B., Chet, I., Wilson, K. S. and Vorgias, C. E. 1994. Crystal structure of a bacterial chitinase at 2.3 a resolution. *Structure*. **15**: 1169-1180.

Pooja, A. S., Krishnaraj, P. U. and Prashanthi, S. K. 2013. Profile of cry from native Bacillus thuringiensis isolates and expression of Cry11. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **12(22):** 3545-3562.

Roberts, W. K. and Selitrennikoff, C. P. 1998. Plant and Bacterial Chitinases Differ in Antifungal Activity. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **134**: 169-176.

Rost, B., Yachdav, G. and Liu, J. 2004. The PredictProtein server. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 32: 321-326.

Sambrook, J. and Russell, D. 2001. Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Singh, V. and Singh, Y. 2014. Evaluation of Trichoderma harzianum and Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates for their antagonistic potential against Exserohilum turcicum cuasing leaf blight of sorghum. *The Bioscan.* 9(3): 1171-1175.

Sitrit, Y., Vorgias, C. E., Chet, I. and Oppenheim, A. B. 1995. Cloning and primary structure of the chiA gene from Aeromonas caviae. *J. Bacteriol.* 177: 4187-4189.

Smith, D. W. E. 2008. Problems of Translating Heterologous Genes in Expression Systems: The Role of tRNA. *Biotechnol. Progress.* **12(4)**: 417-422.

Suzuki, K., Sugawara, N., Suzuki, M., Uchiyama, T., Katouno, F., Nikaidou, N. and Watanabe, T. 2002. Chitinases A, B, and C1 of Serratia marcescens 2170 produced by recombinant Escherichia coli: Enzymatic properties and synergism on chitin degradation. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 66: 1075-1083.

Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., Gilson, T. J. 1994. Clustal W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucliec Acids Res.* **22**: 4673-4680.

Tindall, B. J., Rossello-Mora, R., Busse, H. J., Ludwig, W. and Kampfer, P. 2010. Notes on the characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60: 249-266.

Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Horn, S. J., Sorlie, M., Eijsink, V. G. H. 2013. The chitinolytic machinery of Serratia marcescens-a model system for enzymatic degradation of recalcitrant polysaccharides *FEBS J.* 280: 3028-3049.

Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Houston, D. R., Riemen, A. H., Eijsink V. G., van Aalten, D. M. 2005. Crystal Structure and Binding Properties of the Serratia marcescens Chitin-binding Protein CBP21. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 11313-11319.

Wang, J., Boets, A., Van Rie, J. and Renc, G. 2003. Characterization of genes cry1, cry2, and cry9 genes in Bacillus thuringiensis isolates from China. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 82: 63-71.

Wang, K., Yan, P. and Cao, L. 2014. Chitinase from a Novel Strain of Serratia marcescens JPP1 for Biocontrol of Aflatoxin: Molecular Characterization and Production Optimization Using Response Surface Methodology. *BioMed Res. Intl.* 10.1155/2014/482623.

Watanabe, T., Kimura, K., Sumiya, T., Nikaidou, N., Suzuki, K., Suzuki, M., Taiyoji, M., Ferrer, S. and Regue, M. 1997. Genetic analysis of the chitinase system of Serratia marcescens 2170. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**: 7111-7117.

Wu, Y., Cheng, C. and Li, Y. 2009. Cloning and Expression of Chitinase A from Serratia marcescens for Large-scale Preparation of N,N-Diacetyl Chitobiose. *J. the Chinese Chemical Society.* **56:** 688-695.